

APPLICATION NO.

09/637,185

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 61607-1220 7394 **EXAMINER** VANDERPUYE, KENNETH N ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2661 DATE MAILED: 01/05/2004

24504 01/05/2004 THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP 100 GALLERIA PARKWAY, NW STE 1750 ATLANTA, GA 30339-5948

FILING DATE

08/11/2000

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Kurt Holmquist

|   | A !!4! -   | - No       | Applicant(a)   |
|---|------------|------------|--|
|   | Applicatio | n No.      | Applicant(s)   |
| Office Action Summary   | 09/637,18  | 5          | HOLMQUIST ET AL.                                     |
|   | Examiner   |            | Art Unit   |
|   |            | Vanderpuye | 2661   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |            |            |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |            |            |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  |            |            |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.   |            |            |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims   |            |            |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the application.   |            |            |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |            |            |  |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12,14-25,27-38 and 40-51</u> is/are allowed.  |            |            |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13,26,39 and 52</u> is/are rejected.  |            |            |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |            |            |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |            |            |  |
| Application Papers  |            |            |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |            |            |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |            |            |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |            |            |  |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.   |            |            |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |            |            |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |            |            |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |            |            |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |            |            |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |            |            |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |            |            |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.   |            |            |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |            |            |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |            |            |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |            |            |  |
| Attachment(s)   | -          |            |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2</li> </ol>  | ·          |            | v (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) |

Application/Control Number: 09/637,185

Art Unit: 2661

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 13, 26, 29, 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 13 recites the limitation "the first symbol index" in 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 26 recites the limitation "the first symbol index" in 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 39 recites the limitation "the first symbol index" in 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 52 recites the limitation "the first symbol index" in 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 26 recites the limitation "the cells" in 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/637,185

Art Unit: 2661

Claims 39 recites the limitation "the cells" in 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 52 recites the limitation "the cells" in 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-12, 14-25, 27-38, 40-51 allowed.

Claims 13, 26, 39, 52 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth N Vanderpuye whose telephone number is 703-308-7828. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(7:30-5:00) Second Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Olms can be reached on 703-305-4703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

**KNV** 

December 27, 2003

KENNETH VANDERPUYE PRIMARY EXAMINER